			HOPES - HFI Service Report FY 2009	
			Program Name:LSI Woodbury	
		Se	ection A, 1-10 Includes totals for the families ENROLLED during FY09	
ΔFY	/09 Enrol	Iment Tota		Comments
Α. Ι Ι		Percentag		Crittenton Center repo
1	TTUTTE	Crocinag	Births/newborn screened for risk factors	Chitemen Center repe
2	9	#DIV/0!	High risk families with newborn identified & eligible for services	
3	9	100%	Of identified high risk with newborn offered HOPES-HFI	
4	9		Target children enrolled	
5	9	>	Families enrolled	
6	2	22%	Families enrolled prenatal	
7	0		Enrolled prenatal, not delivered before July 1, 2009	
8	4	44%	Families enrolled mother is \leq age 19 yr	
9	7	78%	Families enrolled, mother 1st time parent	
10	9	100%	Families enrolled, single parent family	
<u> </u>		.0070	FY09 CASELOAD	
B. F	Y09 Case	eload Tota		
	Number	Percentag	e	
1	435		Unduplicated families served at project site since HOPES-HFI began	Total Unknown due to
2	33	\sim	Carryover families from FY08	
3	42	> >	Families	
4	42	>	Target children	
5	7	17%	Mothers age 18-19 yr when enrolled	
a.	2	5%	Age 15-17	
b.	1	2%	Age ≤ 14	
6	7	17%	Families on caseload who were enrolled prenatal	
7	25	60%	Families on caseload, mother 1st time parent	
8	27	64%	Families on caseload, single parent family	
9	26	62%	Families, parent is Caucasian	
10	4	10%	Families, parent is African American	
11	9	21%	Families, parent is Hispanic	
12	0	0%	Families, parent Asian/Oriental	
13	3	7%	Families, parent Native American	
14	71		Additional children ≤ age 18 yr residing in the family home	
		load Risk	Factors Assessed Initial Few Home Visits Following Enrollment	
		Percentag		
1	19	45%	Primary wage earner is unemployed	
2	21	50%	Inadequate income	
3	7	17%	Inadequate or unstable housing	
4	7	17%	No Phone	
5	2	5%	Unreliable transportation	

C	7	470/	Conial indication limited emorganous or family contacts						
О	1	17%	Social isolation - limited emergency or family contacts						
7	18	43%	Parents formal education less than high school/ GED						
8	3	7%	Parental history of special education or learning disabilities						
9	1	2%	Current substance abuse of either parent						
10	11	26%	History of substance abuse of either parent						
11	12	29%	Marital or family problems						
12	18	43%	History or current mental illness/depression/psychiatric care						
13	7	17%	History or current family domestic violence						
14	6	14%	Fear or inadequate feelings about parenting						
15	0	0%	ndifferent, intolerant interaction with infant						
16	0	0%	History induced/attempted abortion this pregnancy						
17	2	5%	History of/or removal older children to foster care						
18	1	2%	Termination of parental rights to older children						
19	37	88%	Families with 3 or more risk factors						

D. F	709 Exits								
.		Percentage	Δ						
1	20	reicentagi	Families exited program						
2	0	0%	Exits with family goals met						
3	18	90%	Exits with family goals met Exits family goals partially met						
4	2	10%	Exits family goals partially met						
	14	10%	Times families moved/changed residences						
5	2	10%							
6		ition Rate	Exits due to family moved out of service area						
E. FI									
		Percentage		O FOW : - - - - - - - - - - - -					
1	27	100/	Average months, including prenatal, families participated prior to exit	One FSW is able to be					
2	4	10%	Families exit before target child is 6 mo of age						
	09 Health								
Preg		d Birth Info							
		Percentage							
1	1	2%	Target children born at VLBW & LBW						
2	33	79%	Women received initial prenatal care 1st trimester						
3	0	0%	Women received no prenatal care						
4	42	100%	Women received ≥ 5 prenatal care exams/appointments						
5	18	43%	Families use family planning or a method of birth control						
G. He			e (HCC) for Parents						
	Number	Percentage							
1	7	17%	Families parent(s) have health care coverage.						
H. He	ealth Care	e Coverage	e (Insurance) for Target Children						
	Number	Percentage	e l						
1	37	88%	Medicaid						
2	1	2%	Hawk-I						
3	0	0%	Medicare (SSI or other)						
4	8	19%	Private Insurance	Some children covered					
5	0	0%	No health care coverage as of June 30, 2009						
I. He	alth Care	for Target							
		Percentage							
1	42	100%	Medical Home: Established for target children and accessing						
2	39	93%	arget children with received ALL preventive health services for age according to the EPSDT's						
>		>	(except Dental/Oral Health or Lead Screen)						
3	20	~	Target children ≥ age 2 yr						
4	18	90%	Target children with immunizations according to recommended schedule by age 2						
5	0	0%	Target children with legitimate immunization exemption						
6	6		Target children ≥ 12 months						
7	5	83%	Lead Poisoning: Number target children ≥ 12 mo. screened for Blood Lead Level (BLL)						
8	0	0%	Target children with an elevated BLL screen						
9	0	#DIV/0!	Target children received or are receiving intervention for elevated BLL						
<u> </u>		#DIV/O:	3						

10	0	#DIV/0!	Target children with BLL resolved to normal by end of FY07	
11	42	100%	Developmental Screening: Number of target children assessed for developmental	
$>\!\!<$	$>\!\!<$	$>\!\!<$	delay per age	
12	4	10%	Target children with suspected/potential development delay identified	
13	4	100%	Target children with suspected/potential development delay referred to Early ACCESS	
$>\!\!<$	$>\!\!<$	$>\!\!<$	identified	
14	4	100%	Target children with suspected/potential development delay who participated in Early	
> <	$>\!\!<$	$>\!\!<$	ACCESS following referral	
15	4	67%	Dental Home: Target children ≥ 12mo accessed Dental provider or I-Smile services	
16				
$>\!\!<$	$>\!\!<$	$>\!\!<$		
17	2	100%	Pregnant women who had ≥ 1 dental exam/screening prenatal	
			FY09 Social, Environmental, Emotional and Community	Most women have bee
J. Fat	her (FOE	3) Target C	Child Involvement	
	Number	Percentage	e e	
1	39	93%	Families, Father of the target child (FOB) involved	_
2	1	2%	Families male involved in "fatherhood role" other than FOB	

K. C		e/Neglect								
	Number Percentage 0 0% Families on caseload with confirmed child abuse/neglect prior to FY09									
1	0	0%		n caseload	with confirme	d child abuse	e/neglect prio	r to FY09		
	For TAR	For TARGET CHILD								
	Number	Percentag	je							
3	0	0%	Confirmed	abuse/negl	ect of target	child				
4	0	0%	Target child	dren placed	in foster hor	ne				
5	0	$>\!\!<$	Confirmed	abuses of t	arget child fo	llowing repor	t by HOPES-	HFI		
6	0	#DIV/0!	Families co	ontinued HC	PES-HFI pa	rticipation fol	lowing confiri	med abuse of	target child	
L. Cl	nild Care	for Target	Child							
	Number	Percentag	je							
1	4	10%	In non-regi	stered hom	e					
2	8	19%	In a registe	red home						
3	12	29%	With relativ	/e/friend						
4	0	0%	In a non-lic	ensed child	care center					
5	7	17%	In a license	ed childcare	center					
6	10	24%	Accepted a	and accesse	ed Child Care	Resource &	Referral			
7	10	24%			are assistand					
M. P	re-Schoo	for Targe	t Child				_	_		
		Percentag								
1	8	19%		dren <u>></u> age	3 yr					
2	8	100%			3 yr enrolled	in a pre-scho	ol or Head S	tart		
	_		mmunity Se							
		Percentag								
1	4	10%		domestic vi	olence/abuse	services				
2	4	0%			s voc-ed serv		ty, technical	training		
3	4	10%			t services (wo					
4	5	12%			dget assistan					
5	21	50%			port (rent as		it assistance.	HUD)		
6	8	19%			th services fo		,	,		
7	0	0%			th services fo					
8	2	5%		accessed substance abuse treatment services						
9	9	21%			set" of infant		lies			
10	7	17%			stment Progr					
11	5	12%		Promise Jo						
12	9	21%			ation (GED,	Comm. Colle	ge. 2nd lang	uage		
13	7	17%			ng education		J 5,	T		
14	5	12%			sportation (b		nteers)			
	27	64%			y resource ce					
15	_·				children's bo					
15 16	17	40%	ACCESSED	a 11011 at V 1111			1	1		
15 16 17	17 0	40% 0%		crisis child						

O. No	O. Nutrition									
	Number	Percentage)							
1	36	86%	Families er	milies enrolled in WIC						
2	16	38%	Mothers br	others breastfeeding target child upon discharge from hospital						
3	11	69%	Mothers co	others continued breastfeeding target child age ≥ 6 mo						
4	27	64%	Families re	milies received DHS food assistance (food stamps)						
5	3	7%	Families er	amilies enrolled in Food and Nutrition Program (FNP)						
6	14	33%	Families ad	ccessed foo	d pantries/co	mmodity food	S			

			initial assessment during first fev	w home visits, <u>me</u>	asure only fo	or families with a	<u>target</u>	
ild	l who is ag							
	Number	Percentage						
	41	98%	Families with age of target child	age <u>></u> 6 mo.				
		milies Identified	Problem Identified	# Resolved	# Improved	# Not Improved		
		16	Living Situation	3	9	4		
		9	Social Isolation	1	3	5		
		12	Transportation	3	7	2		_
		2	Home Safety		2			_
		36	Financial Situation	2	25	9		
		20	HOH Employment	2	12	6		
		1	Access to Health Care	1	12	<u> </u>		
		3	Substance Abuse	'	3			
		17	Education		7	10		
		17	MH/Emotional Status		13	4		
		2	Domestic Violence		2	-		
		3	Parenting Skills/Discipline	1	2			
		1	Personal Hygiene			1		
		14	Age Appropriate Activities		13	1		
		13	Appropriate Child Care	6	4	3		
		8	Care of Infant		7	1		
		12	Child Growth & Development	1	8	3		
		0	Parent-Child Interaction		- J	Ü		
. Н	IOPES-HE	I Services	r arent erina interaction					
		Percentage	ż					
	622		Home visits to provide HOPES-	HFI services by F	SW/PM/ Sup	ervisor		
	8	1%	Joint home visits with FSW by F		01171 IV., Gap	0171001		
	41	6%	Attempted or not home, not four		v HOPES-H	FLstaff		
	10		Units of direct transportation pro					
. E	-	Postnatal I	Depression Screen	(p 0	p		
_	28		Number of Clients screened (Pr	e and Postnatal) l	Jnduplicated			
	60							
~		2.14	Avg # of EPDS per client (do no	ot need to enter #	‡)			
	10	17%	Number of screens positive for					
	7	70%	Number of referrals made		-			
	7	100%	To Physician/PCP					
	0	0%	To MH provider					
	0	0%	To other					
	5	71%	Number of clients who accessed	d a providor follow	ina rafarral			+

6	4	80%	Number of clients who i	lumber of clients who received intervention/trmt after accessed provider					
7	3	30%	Number of clients with p	lumber of clients with positive screen already under the care of a provider or receiving					
\sim	$>\!\!<$	$>\!\!<$	treatment for depression	eatment for depression					